

Recall we had the following picture of the *grad*, *curl*, and *div* differential operators.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Functions} \\ f(x, y, z) \\ \text{on a} \\ \text{domain} \\ E \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Vector fields} \\ \mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle \\ \text{on the domain} \\ E \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Vector fields} \\ \mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle \\ \text{on the domain} \\ E \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Functions} \\ f(x, y, z) \\ \text{on the} \\ \text{domain} \\ E \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{array} \right\}$$

1. **Tests to see if a vector field has a scalar or vector potential.**

- (a) Suppose the vector field \mathbf{F} is equal to ∇f for some function f (we say that \mathbf{F} is conservative, and that it has a scalar potential). Then $\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \nabla \times \nabla f = \mathbf{0}$.

In particular, if \mathbf{F} is a vector field for which $\nabla \times \mathbf{F} \neq \mathbf{0}$, then you can conclude that \mathbf{F} is NOT the gradient of some function f .

- (b) Suppose the vector field \mathbf{F} is equal to $\nabla \times \mathbf{G}$ for some vector field \mathbf{G} (we say that \mathbf{F} has a vector potential). Then $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{G} = 0$.

In particular, if \mathbf{F} is a vector field for which $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \neq 0$, then you can conclude that \mathbf{F} is NOT the curl of some vector field \mathbf{G} .

2. **Suppose the vector field \mathbf{F} satisfies $\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0}$. Is it the case that \mathbf{F} is the gradient of some function f ?**

- (a) The answer can be “No.” Consider the following example.

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{\langle -y, x, 0 \rangle}{x^2 + y^2}$$

- Note that the domain of \mathbf{B} is all of \mathbb{R}^3 minus the z -axis. This domain has a *one dimensional hole*; that is, a hole which prevents the one dimensional circle

$$C : \quad \mathbf{r}(t) = \langle \cos(t), \sin(t), 0 \rangle \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$$

from being the boundary of an oriented surface contained in the domain.

- It is easy to verify that $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$.
- It is also easy to verify that the line integral $\oint_C \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 2\pi$.
- Because the path integral about a closed path is non-zero, we conclude that \mathbf{B} is not a gradient.
- *Key idea: It is a global problem, not a local problem.* We saw in class notes (2-dim version) that \mathbf{B} is locally the gradient of a function; for example, the polar angle function

$$f(x, y, z) = \tan^{-1}(y/x)$$

is one such function.

The key problem is that there is no *globally defined function* f whose gradient is \mathbf{B} . In particular, when one tries to extend the definition of the polar angle function above around the circle unit C in the xy -plane, it becomes multivalued (we end up being forced to conclude that values of f at some point is both α and $2\pi + \alpha$). Note that the circle C is one of the circles which is not the boundary of an oriented surface in \mathbb{R}^3 minus the z -axis.

- (b) If the domain has *no one dimensional holes*, then every simple, closed loop C is the boundary of an oriented surface S , and then Stokes' Theorem gives

$$\oint_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \iint_S (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \iint_S \mathbf{0} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0$$

Thus Path integrals are independent of the chosen path, and we saw in class how to use these path integrals to build a globally defined function f with $\nabla f = \mathbf{F}$. The negative of such an f is called a (*scalar*) *potential* for \mathbf{F} .

3. Suppose the vector field \mathbf{F} satisfies $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$. Is it the case that \mathbf{F} is the curl of some vector field \mathbf{G} ?

- (a) The answer can be “No.” Consider the following example.

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{\langle x, y, z \rangle}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}$$

- Note that the domain of \mathbf{E} is all of \mathbb{R}^3 minus the origin $(0, 0, 0)$. This domain has a *two dimensional hole*; that is, a hole which prevents the two dimensional sphere S defined by $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ from bounding a solid ball in the domain.
- It is easy to verify that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$.
- It is also easy to verify that $\iint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 4\pi$.
- Because the surface integral of \mathbf{E} about the closed sphere S is non-zero, we conclude (by the result from the Stokes' Theorem handout) that \mathbf{E} is not the curl of any vector field.
- *Key idea: It is a global problem, not a local problem.* Because $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$, it is possible to “integrate” and find locally defined vector fields \mathbf{G} whose curl equals \mathbf{E} (do this as an exercise; we did some examples of finding such vector fields in class).

The problem is that there is no *globally defined vector field* \mathbf{G} on \mathbb{R}^3 minus $(0, 0, 0)$ whose curl is \mathbf{E} . In particular, there is no vector field defined on all of the unit sphere $S : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ whose curl is equal to \mathbf{E} on S . (It is a good exercise to try extending different candidates for \mathbf{G} over all of S and to think about what goes wrong.) Note that the sphere S does not bound a solid ball in \mathbb{R}^3 minus $(0, 0, 0)$.

- (b) If the domain has *no two dimensional holes*, so that every sphere bounds a solid ball, and if $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$, then one can argue that \mathbf{F} is the curl of another, globally defined vector field. The argument involves some integration.

A vector field \mathbf{G} such that $\nabla \times \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}$ is called a *vector potential* for \mathbf{F} .

4. **Remark 1.** It can be shown that these are essentially the only examples that occur. Of course a space may have several one or two dimensional holes, but locally (near the holes) the examples will all look like \mathbf{B} or \mathbf{E} .
5. **Remark 2.** The vector fields \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{E} are not esoteric mathematical examples. They occur in nature, and you will meet them in your physics and engineering courses.

- For example, the field \mathbf{B} is (up to an appropriate positive scalar multiple) the static *magnetic field* due to a constant electric current flowing up an infinite wire along the z -axis.

- The field \mathbf{E} is the standard “inverse square law, central force” field. It could be (up to an appropriate negative scalar multiple) the *gravitational field* due to a mass m at $(0, 0, 0)$. Alternatively, it could be (up to an appropriate positive/negative scalar multiple) the *electrostatic field due to a positive/negative charge q* at $(0, 0, 0)$.

6. Remark 3. Potentials.

- You should check that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$.
- Verify that $\mathbf{A} = \langle 0, 0, \frac{-1}{2} \ln(x^2 + y^2) \rangle$ is a vector potential for \mathbf{B} ; that is, $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$.
- (One can verify that the domain of \mathbf{B} has no two dimensional holes! It is possible to fill spheres in this domain in with solid balls in the domain.)
- Now check that $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$.
- Verify that $f(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}$ is a scalar potential for \mathbf{E} ; that is, $-\nabla f = \mathbf{E}$.
- (One can verify that the domain of \mathbf{E} has no one dimensional holes; every simple, closed loop in the domain is the boundary of some oriented surface in the domain.)
- Working with vector potentials for Magnetic fields \mathbf{B} and scalar potentials for Electric fields \mathbf{E} will be useful in your EM-class.